### Chase Intelligence for Risk Adjustment

**Presented By:** 

Andy Kumar, VP of Product & Strategy, CDAI Division – Ciox Health

Austin Bostock, Manager, Client Advisory – Consultant





We are a network of health care professionals addressing the challenges posed by the emerging landscape of value-based care and government health care reform.

#### **OUR MISSION**

Our mission is to provide a community for like-minded professionals to come together for networking, education, and industry collaboration to stay ahead and advance their careers.

### ONE ASSOCIATION THREE COMMUNITIES



#### LEARN MORE AT THE RISEHEALTH.ORG/MEMBERSHIP

### **RISE ASSOCIATION MEMBER BENEFITS**



#### LEARN MORE AT THE RISEHEALTH.ORG/MEMBERSHIP

### JOIN THE RISE ASSOCIATION FOR FREE



#### Access Our Virtual Member Portal Today!

LEARN MORE ONLINE AT RISEHEALTH.ORG/MEMBERSHIP

### Agenda



Challenges & Market Trend



Provider Site Propensity & Case Study Digital vs Traditional Retrieval Retrospective and Prospective Program Performance

žE

### **Ciox Overview**

Ciox is a **technology-driven** healthcare company that empowers greater health by simply and securely connecting health care decisionmakers with the data and hidden insights in medical records.

Ciox assists Health Plans by improving the way healthcare information is shared and acted upon, resulting in **better quality of care** and **improved outcomes** for patients and health plans.

- 50M+ record request from 1M+ annual unique requestors
- Number 1 in market experience and coverage with access to 3 out of 4 top hospitals in the U.S
- Only one in the market using historical provider data points to improve targeting outcomes

THE RISE ASSOCIATION

#### Clinical Data Acquisition & Insights (CDAI)

Multi-channel retrieval to maximize yield and minimize provider abrasion coupled with risk adjustment coding and member-centric data management



### **Ciox Health: The nation's largest health data exchange**



Our vision is to enable ubiquitous access to health information and unlock inherent value across the health plan value chain.





## Challenges Market Trend



### Challenges with Traditional Chase

- Inferior and/outdated chase analytics technology, leading to a significant number of missed or inaccurate codes
- Older analytical models focuses more on identifying dropoff conditions(retrospective) rather than the probability to capturing potential conditions within the medical record
- Providing leadership/actuaries with an accurate financial estimation of their retrospective and prospective program is time consuming and not real time
- Absence of provider data asset to develop the most optimal chart chase list to achieve the best outcomes
- Time-consuming, inefficient and error-prone



### **Market Trends**

- Health Plans are tired of black box solutions and many health plans are centralizing analytics and taking back some vended operations.
- CMS is increasing audit scrutiny on health plans in all regulated markets
- Payer industry has become a highly competitive space where healthcare analytics have played a larger role for health plans in increasing membership, incorporating new health plans, reducing operational waste, and improving bid estimations.
- Need for analytics that are not only predictive, but prescriptive as well to provide insights and operational opportunity

### **Poll Question #1**

What type of chase/suspect analytics solutions do you use today?

- A. Internal
- B. Third Party Vendor
- C. Not using any
- D. Our current retrieval vendor's analytics
- E. Not Sure



### Provider Site Propensity & Case Study



#### Preferred Chase Intelligence Approach

INPUTS		OUTPUTS			OUTCOMES	
DATA	Claims Data (Medical, Pharmacy, Supplemental) Member & Eligibility (Membership, MMR, MOR, Plan Details)	Data Analytics Suspect analytics are applied using member and provider information to create a new strategic chase list	Provider Performance Historic Provider behavior performance data is analyzed based on member population provided		<ul> <li>Outreach Campaign</li> <li>Chase File formatted output</li> <li>Customizable Targets</li> <li>Monthly GAP Closure</li> <li>Project is launched using applied analytics improving the retrieval yield and closure of open care gaps</li> </ul>	
SOURCES	Provider (Attribution, Specialty, System Affiliation) Clinical (EHR extraction, HL7/CDA ingestion) Other Data Analyzed (Lab results, HIX data, HRAs)	<ul> <li>Identifying &amp; Prioritizing Member/HCCs</li> <li>Applying 200+ variables into establishing member/condition specific confidence intervals</li> <li>Generating estimated financial value for each gap, natural gap closure probabilities and confidence intervals</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Chart Acquisition Prioritization (Aggregation IQ)</li> <li> <ul> <li>Driving higher retrieval rates and lower costs through chart-level acquisition method and probability determination models</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	5	<ul> <li>Delivery &amp; Dashboard</li> <li>Client Result review</li> <li>Customized Executive dashboards provide transparent insight to population, retrieval yield, and forecasting</li> </ul>	

THE RISE ASSOCIATION

### Value of Provider Site Propensity Data

- Cost per Chart evaluations determine low retrieval success rate and replace them higher value charts with greater retrieval rates to Reduce Medical Record Retrieval Cost
- Historic provider behavior data is used to give higher preference to the providers that are more responsive to Reduce Failed Medical Record Requests
- Provider Evaluation metrics Reduce Campaign Length by targeting providers that are consistent in response time and delivery
- Compliant and highly accurate providers are given priority to strategically target to Increase Provider Satisfaction and Reduce Provider Abrasion
- Providers that are determined to have historically high failure and/or poor clinical documentation rates are given less priority which provides Enhanced Quality and Accurate Revenue
- Utilization of embedded sites and EMR access used to Increase Chart Retrieval Yield, Reduce Unnecessary Costs, and the realization of revenue sooner

#### NPI Data – Customer Provider Data – Experiential Provider Data



### **Case Study**

#### • Health Plan A:

- Medicare Advantage Plan
- 2019 DOS = 2020 PY
- ~48K Members
- Purpose of Study:
  - Prove/disprove the use of provider behavior attributes can improve yield and ROI
- Initial Results w/o Provider Attributes:
  - Charts Targeted: ~26K
  - Members Targeted: ~ 20K
  - Estimated Target Value: ~3.8M
- Results w/Provider Attributes:
  - 7.7% of the initial members targeted would have been removed and replaced with higher value targets which has resulted in an additional \$1.4M in incremental value (8% revenue uplift)





### **Poll Question #2**

Which of the following data does your plan use today to generate Chase List?

- A. Provider Data
- B. Historical Member Results (Retrospective)
- C. Both
- D. None





### **Retrieval Methods – Digital vs Traditional**



Classic

Modern

THE RISE ASSOCIATION



#### **Retrospective and Prospective Program Dashboard**

#### Understanding the 4 RAFs



THE RISE ASSOCIATION

#### Dashboard: Risk Score Trending

#### **Year-Over-Year Trending**

- Monthly risk scores to compare year-overyear risk score performance.
- Multi-model scoring to accurately compare data agnostic of model differences
- Risk scores by data sources to compare the different risk scores (Reported, Captured, and Target)





#### Dashboard: RAF Trending

### Understanding campaign contribution to Risk Score

- Captured Conditions tagged to multiple campaigns.
- Incremental RAF contribution, inclusive of natural gap closure, incremental HCCs and deletes
- Analyze the interaction between campaigns and identify where conditions are captured through multiple avenues



#### Dashboards: Performance Analytics

#### **In-flight Analytics to Improve Outcomes**

- Operational, code capture and financial outcome reporting delivered monthly.
- Incremental RAF contribution, inclusive of natural gap closure, incremental HCCs and deletes
- Comparison on projected to estimated revenue based on current retrieval/coding results from campaign
- Second sweep chase list generated to add new members and additional charts include and apply filters
- Year-over-year comparison on past campaigns





#### Dashboards: Performance Analytics

#### Provider Analytic Drill-down Results

- Provider behavior analytics attributes include

   retrieval yield/amounts, deletes, and
   recapture rate
- These analytics can be drilled down to show an overview of key campaign data rates such as the original targets, the recapture success rates, and the percentage of the low value records removed
- Ability to filter based on provider group, provider, and/or campaign
- Assist in Provider Education and chronic condition alignment

Medical Gro	up Recapture Rate	(click one medical grou	p to filter charts below)			Health Sys (AU)	tem 📄
Medical Group	Member Count	Total Recapture Rate	Attr Prov Encounter Recapture Rate	Attr Prov Chart Review Recapture Rate	Non-Attr Prov Encounter Recapture Rate	Non-Attr Prov Chart Review Recapture Rate	Not Captured
Group 1	752	90%	72%	2%	15%	1%	10%
Group 2	502	81%	60%	10%	9%	2%	19%
Group 3	382	68%	46%	196	2096	196	32%
Group 4	296	76%	51%	296	20%	396	24%
Group 5	264	88%	62%	496	16%	6%	12%
Provider Dri	lldown-All			MG Reca	apture Methods	HCC Com	position
Provider	Member Count	Provider Recapture Rate Capti	ured by Attributed Provider Attr Prov Chart Ri	wiew 18%	494		696
Provider1	403	94%	76% 3%			29%	
Provider2	349	88%	70% 2%			7	30%
Provider3	197	65%	40% 1%				.50%
Provider4	305	88%	42% 1%	15%			
Provider5	129	90%	70% 10%				
Provider6	253	91%	68% 6%			2%	
Provider7	163	54%	38% 3%		6196		
Provider8	133	77%	50% 2%	2%		1496	2%
Provider9	199	83%	4996 496				Ch
Provider10	65	80%	60% 2%			4%	1496

#### Dashboards: Performance Analytics

#### Post-Campaign Performance Evaluation

- Detailed evaluation of campaign performance to drive year-over-year improvement
- Annual Wellness analytics on gap closure and coding accuracy
- Ability to filter based on telehealth or in-home



#### Members W/ Captured Quintile I Member Count % Complete **Quintile Target RUs Captured RUs** Captured as % of Target Target \$ Captured 5 Visit 1.065 2.544 80% 2,924 87% \$27,337,530 \$23,783,651 untile. Vember Count: 1.329 48% 52% \$17,383,520 \$9,039,430 708 36% \$6,337,337 \$2,281,441 n 1,329 50% 53% \$4,721,937 \$2,502,627 9896 \$3,352,536 \$3,285,485 Grand Total 6,643 3,514 53% 6,324 4,123 65% \$59,132,860 \$38,552,880

#### Identify & Prioritize Data Quality Issues

### Identify issues proactively ahead of CMS deadlines

- Ensure ongoing data quality
- Proactively identify issues for resolution
- Quantify potential exposure
- Focus remediation efforts based on materiality
- Perform independent oversight of internal or vendor-led submission processes

Back to Executive Summary Dashboard	EDS Integrity							
Reset Filters	MCO Contract Number: All Plan Benefit Package ID: All							
	Data Source - Risk Profile							
Source Cov	erage Adjusted Risk Units	Risk Cap	RAF Score					
Claims EDS				0.93				
EDS Submission	7		0.0%	0.93				
EDS Response	402	9	18.6%	0.94				
	Data Integrity Comparison Segments							
Claims to EDS Submission	EDS Submission to EDS Response		Total					
Gap Risk Units 7	Gap Risk Units	402	Gap Risk Units	409				
Estimated Financial Value \$14,816	Estimated Financial Value	\$816,084	Estimated Financial Value	830,900				
Members With Gap 14	Members With Gap	787	Members With Gap	801				
Impact Distribution By Comparison (Select Segment to Filter Root Cause Distri		(Selec	Root Cause Distribution All t Segment to View Descriptive Statistics)					
$\leftarrow$ Undo $\rightarrow$ Redo $ earrow$ Revert $\[ \begin{subarray}{c} \end{subarray}$ Refresh $\[ \begin{subarray}{c} \end{subarray}$ Pause			RB <sup>*</sup> .it View: Original 🗘 [	Download [□] Full Scree				



# THANK YOU

