Transitioning from Retrospective to Prospective Chart Reviews for Early Risk Identification

Presented By:

Mark Bigelow, VP Risk Adjustment & Quality - EXL Health Jennie Smith, AVP, Service Delivery & Client Engagement - EXL Health Varun Kanakkanoor Pandarathil, AVP, Data & Analytics - EXL Health





We are a network of health care professionals addressing the challenges posed by the emerging landscape of value-based care and government health care reform.

OUR MISSION

Our mission is to provide a community for like-minded professionals to come together for networking, education, and industry collaboration to stay ahead and advance their careers.

ONE ASSOCIATION THREE COMMUNITIES



LEARN MORE AT THE RISEHEALTH.ORG/MEMBERSHIP



ASK YOUR QUESTIONS IN OUR DISCUSSION BOARD

Agenda

- Risk Adjustment & Quality Vision
- Retrospective Pain Points
- Prospective Solution
- Q&A



Risk Adjustment & Quality Vision

Simply stated:

Achieve accurate risk and quality scores with minimal member, provider and payer abrasion.





Does your retrospective chart review process increase or decrease abrasion?

- 1. Increase
- 2. Decrease
- 3. Not sure
- 4. Don't do retrospective chart reviews



Retrospective Chart Review Purpose



Gather member clinical records to search for Risk Adjustment & Quality information that are not included in existing data sets (e.g. claims, labs, etc.)





What is your organization's chart review strategy?

- 1. Retrospective
- 2. Prospective
- 3. Both
- 4. Neither



Retrospective Pain Points – Lost Opportunity

Waiting until the measurement period ends eliminates the ability to capture undocumented conditions



Retrospective Pain Points - Cost





Retrospective Pain Points - Quality

Poor copy quality increases costs and time spent without adding incremental value



Retrospective Pain Points - Time



Office staff distracted from supporting clinical activities by having to assist with retrieval and copy process



Prospective Solutions - Overview



A prospective chart review process means retrieving and analyzing patient charts before a visit to ensure proper documentation of all relevant diagnosis codes



Prospective Solutions - Overview

Retrospective Process



Unless you started before April, all you've done is identify missed opportunities in the current year and suspects for the next.



Prospective Solutions - Overview



By putting chart review and analysis first, gap closure opportunities are accelerated into the current year



Prospective Solutions – Advantages

Member and physician time are used much more efficiently

- Gaps closed in the first exam instead of requiring a second visit
- Ensures proper member stratification and focus

Provides educational opportunities for physicians and coding staff that can be capitalized on in the current measurement period

Aligns provider and payer incentives in the chart review process



Prospective Solutions – Hurdles

One main hurdle remains:

When do you pull the charts?



Prospective Solutions – Digital Transformation

- Retrieve charts ASAP after the DOS
- Archive all member charts anytime it is changed
- Bi-directional scan of new/updated charts with NLP
- All new codes added to suspect list and probability weighted
- Suspect lists refreshed and redistributed



Prospective Solutions - Detail



THE RISE ASSOCIATION

Prospective Solutions – Hurdles

Transition timing

earlier in the year is better...but it's never too late

Chase fatigue

without EMR integration, manual chart pulls may need to happen MORE frequently, increasing abrasion

EMR connectivity

Provider organizations may not be willing to enable connectivity; connectivity also requires 3-6 weeks to establish

Internal barriers

mindset shift required to make the transition; resistance from internal teams may also be a barrier

THE RISE ASSOCIATION

Q&A



THANK YOU

